

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,663	02/11/2005	Kenji Yasuda	265999US3PCT	1513
22850 7590 05/21/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			ARCHIE, NINA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			*	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/524,663	YASUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nina A. Archie	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONT	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	` '''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Information (6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/524,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim 1, drawn to an inspection apparatus.

Group II, claims 2-9, drawn to an inspection method of use of inspection apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

1. The technical feature of Group I is an inspection apparatus for cell reaction, which is composed of a device for liquid processor comprising a plate-shaped base material, a plurality of first micro conduits extending in a first direction and a plurality of second micro conduits extending in a second direction different from the first direction, which are formed in the base material, micro spaces formed at respective intersections of the first micro conduits and second micro conduits, a valve provided in each of the respective micro conduits linked to the micro spaces, for opening and closing the micro conduit, and a valve control mechanism for controlling each of the valves between closed and opened states, wherein the apparatus is used in an inspection of a cell reaction, in which a liquid medium necessary for survival of living cells is fed through one of microconduits linked to a selected micro space, in which the living cells are placed, and a test liquid containing a cell stimulator is fed through another micro conduit linked to the micro space to inspect a cell reaction caused by the test liquid. The technical feature is anticipated by MacCaskill et al US Publication No.: US

Application/Control Number: 10/524,663

Art Unit: 1645

2002/0028504A1 Date March 7, 2002. MacCaskill et al teaches inspection apparatus (microreactor) which is composed of a device for liquid processor comprising a plate-shaped base material, a plurality of first micro conduits extending in a first direction and a plurality of second micro conduits extending in a second direction different from the first direction, which are formed in the base material, micro spaces formed at respective intersections of the first micro conduits and second micro conduits, a valve (blocking element) provided in each of the respective micro conduits linked to the micro spaces, for opening and closing the micro conduit, and a valve control mechanism for controlling each of the valves between closed and opened states (see abstract, summary of the invention, [0018], [0027]-[0028])

- 2. Group II is a method of use of the technical feature in Group 1, an inspection apparatus.
- 5. The technical feature of Group I, an inspection apparatus is known in the art. Group I lacks unity with Groups II, because the technical feature of Group I is anticipated by the art and therefore not "special" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 because it does not provide for a contribution that the claimed invention makes over the art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record

Application/Control Number: 10/524,663

Art Unit: 1645

that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nina A. Archie whose telephone number is 571-272-9938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nina Archie

Patent Examiner

1 Lichel

GAU 1645

REM 3B31

MARK NAVARRO